ISSN:2828-6235
Impact factor:13.77

International Journal of Literature and Language Studies(IJLLS)

UNIVERSAL DESIGN FOR LEARNING (UDL) IN THE FOREIGN LANGUAGE CLASSROOM: MEETING DIVERSE NEEDS

Nabiyeva Xilola Abdulmuratovna
Senior Teacher of English Theoretical
Aspects Department of UzSWLU
Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24184673

Abstract: Universal Design for Learning (UDL) is a proactive educational framework rooted in cognitive neuroscience that aims to optimize teaching by addressing individual learner variability before instructional barriers occur. In the foreign language (FL) and second language acquisition (SLA) classroom, traditional instruction frequently relies on uniform auditory-verbal delivery and rigid assessment formats, creating significant barriers for neurodivergent learners, students with sensory impairments, and individuals experiencing elevated foreign language anxiety. This article synthesizes foundational UDL principles—Multiple Means of Engagement, Multiple Means of Representation, and Multiple Means of Action & Expression—with core SLA theories, including Krashen's Affective Filter Hypothesis and Paivio's Dual-Coding Theory. By integrating multimodal input, flexible expression pathways, and affective scaffolding, educators can design inclusive language environments that sustain high expectations while accommodating diverse learning profiles.

1. Theoretical Foundations: Neuroscience and Language Acquisition

The framework of Universal Design for Learning, initially developed by the Center for Applied Special Technology (CAST, 2018; Rose & Meyer, 2002), redefines instructional design by recognizing that learner variability is the rule rather than the exception. Rather than modifying lessons reactively for specific students, UDL prompts educators to design curricula with built-in flexibility from the outset.

In brain-based research, UDL maps directly onto three primary neural networks active during learning:

1. **Affective Networks (The "Why" of Learning):** Governs motivation, emotional connection, self-regulation, and interest retention.
2. **Recognition Networks (The "What" of Learning):** Controls how sensory information is perceived, gathered, and categorized into knowledge structures.
3. **Strategic Networks (The "How" of Learning):** Manages executive functioning, goal planning, physical action, and expressive communication.

UNIVERSAL DESIGN FOR LEARNING (UDL)		
AFFECTIVE NETWORKS	RECOGNITION NETWORKS	STRATEGIC NETWORKS
(The "Why" of Learning)	(The "What" of Learning)	(The "How" of Learning)
Engagement	Representation	Action & Expression
Sustained Effort	Multimodal Input	Flexible Output
Affective Scaffolding	Language Scaffolding	Executive Function Support

When applied to Foreign Language Acquisition (SLA), UDL intersects directly with established second language theories:

- **Stephen Krashen's Affective Filter & Comprehensible Input Hypotheses (1982, 1985):** Language acquisition occurs optimal when input is comprehensible (i+1) and when the learner's affective filter—comprising anxiety, self-confidence, and motivation—is low. UDL's focus on affective engagement directly targets lowering this barrier.
- **Allan Paivio's Dual-Coding Theory (1986):** Human cognition processes verbal and visual information through separate, parallel channels. Presenting foreign language vocabulary alongside visual graphics, realia, or gestural cues strengthens memory encoding and retrieval paths.
- **Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) (1978):** Scaffolding complex target language structures enables learners to move from assisted performance to independent linguistic competence.

2. Principle I: Multiple Means of Engagement (The "Why")

Foreign language classrooms present unique affective challenges. Learners are frequently asked to risk public error, navigate unfamiliar phonological systems, and process fast-paced target speech. Unaddressed foreign language anxiety leads to cognitive overload and linguistic avoidance.

Minimizing Affective Barriers and Language Anxiety

UDL Checkpoint 7 (Recruiting Interest) and Checkpoint 9 (Self-Regulation) emphasize creating psychologically safe learning spaces. When students choose topics linked to their identity or personal goals, intrinsic motivation increases, reducing cognitive resistance to new syntax and vocabulary.

- **Flexible Collaborative Configurations:** Offer options for solo reflection, small-group dialogue, or digital asynchronous exchanges (e.g., audio forum posts) before requiring whole-class speaking.
- **Self-Regulation Toolkits:** Teach explicit strategies for managing foreign language anxiety, such as sentence-starter scaffolds, self-monitoring checklists, and low-stakes formative checks.

Sustaining Effort and Persistence

Linguistic development requires sustained practice across months and years. Checkpoint 8 advocates varying challenge levels while providing clear, action-oriented feedback.

- **Tiered Task Options:** Provide activities at varying levels of complexity while maintaining the same core communicative function (e.g., ordering food using picture cards vs. full conversational dialogue).
- **Goal-Oriented Progress Tracking:** Shift focus from native-speaker accent imitation to communicative clarity and personal milestone mastery, reinforcing growth mindsets (Tomlinson, 2014).

3. Principle II: Multiple Means of Representation (The "What")

Traditional foreign language instruction leans heavily on auditory lectures and textbook readings. For learners with auditory processing differences, visual deficits, or emerging literacy skills, exclusive reliance on one modality restricts access to target language input.

Multimodal Input & Dual-Coding

Applying UDL Checkpoint 1 (Perception) and Checkpoint 2 (Language & Symbols) ensures target language input is accessible through multiple sensory channels:

1. **Bimodal Presentation:** Pair spoken target language with closed captions, written transcripts, and visual illustrations. Bimodal input reinforces phoneme-grapheme correspondences and boosts listening comprehension.
2. **Visual-Gestural & Spatial Supports:** Incorporate gesture systems, manual fingerspelling, or visual sign language references alongside oral drills. Physical gestures anchor abstract

grammatical markers (e.g., tense markers or spatial prepositions) into concrete memory structures.

3. **Explicit Vocabulary Scaffolding:** Pre-teach essential vocabulary using visual word walls, semantic maps, and dual-language glossaries. Embed hyperlinked definitions or audio pronunciation guides into digital reading texts.

4. Principle III: Multiple Means of Action & Expression (The "How")

Requiring all students to demonstrate foreign language proficiency exclusively through handwritten essays or spontaneous oral recitations privileges specific cognitive styles while penalizing others. UDL Checkpoint 4 (Physical Action) and Checkpoint 5 (Communication) advocate for flexible pathways to demonstrate mastery without lowering learning standards.

Flexible Modes of Expression

Foreign language communicative competence (Can-Do Descriptors aligned with frameworks like the CEFR) can be evaluated across diverse formats:

- **Digital Media Creation:** Allow students to record video walkthroughs, construct digital comic strips with target dialogue, or submit curated podcasts instead of traditional paper exams.
- **Multimodal Presentations:** Permit the integration of visual slides, gestural demonstrations, graphic charts, or physical objects during spoken presentations to reduce cognitive load and speech anxiety.
- **Assistive & Interactive Technologies:** Integrate text-to-speech, speech-to-text, visual dictionary apps, and interactive flashcard software to support drafting and revision processes.

Supporting Executive Functions

Language tasks require complex planning, working memory, and monitoring (UDL Checkpoint 6). Educators can scaffold executive functions by breaking multi-step projects into smaller stages, providing explicit rubrics, and offering structural templates for essay composition or dialogue planning.

5. Implementation Strategy: Traditional vs. UDL-Designed Instruction

The table below contrasts traditional foreign language instruction with a UDL-designed foreign language curriculum:

Instructional Dimension	Traditional Foreign Language Classroom	UDL-Designed Foreign Language Classroom
Lesson Delivery	Uniform teacher lecture; printed textbook reading; single audio playback.	Multimodal input: video with closed captions, visual infographics, gestural prompts, and glossed digital text.
Student Engagement	Whole-class rapid-fire questioning; fixed partner assignments; competitive drills.	Choice of solo, paired, or small-group work; flexible response wait times; interest-based topic choices.
Vocabulary Practice	Rote memorization of isolated bilingual word lists; single written quizzes.	Visual word walls, interactive digital decks, contextualized picture matching, and multimodal sentence

		building.
Assessment & Output	Mandatory handwritten essays and timed, unassisted spontaneous oral recitations.	Flexible assessment formats: audio recordings, video creation, graphic storyboards, or structured oral interviews.
Accommodation	Reactive modifications made after a student fails or receives a formal diagnosis.	Proactive accessibility features designed into the lesson for all students from day one.
Instructional Dimension	Traditional Foreign Language Classroom	UDL-Designed Foreign Language Classroom
Lesson Delivery	Uniform teacher lecture; printed textbook reading; single audio playback.	Multimodal input: video with closed captions, visual infographics, gestural prompts, and glossed digital text.

6. UDL Checkpoint Integration Matrix Across Language Skill Domains

To operationalize UDL guidelines, the following matrix aligns core UDL Checkpoints with key foreign language skill domains:

7. Practical Case Examples

Case Scenario A: Supporting Learners with Sensory Differences or Hearing Loss

In a early-level foreign language class learning alphabet, phonics, and introductory vocabulary, a student with hearing loss struggles with auditory-only drill exercises.

- **UDL Application:** The teacher introduces bimodal representation (combining printed letters, visual mouth diagrams, audio clips, and manual sign/fingerspelling cues). All learners benefit from seeing and signing the letters, reinforcing visual and kinesthetic memory channels alongside auditory input.

Case Scenario B: Supporting High Foreign Language Anxiety

A secondary student experiences debilitating panic during unannounced oral recitations, leading to silence and low performance scores despite strong written comprehension.

- **UDL Application:** The teacher modifies speaking evaluations to include asynchronous choices: recording a response using a digital video tool at home, presenting to a small group of peers, or completing a structured interview with the teacher using prompt cards. The student demonstrates communicative competence without affective paralysis.

Language Domain	Primary UDL Checkpoint	Practical Classroom Scaffolding Strategy
Listening Comprehension	Checkpoint 1.2 (Offer alternatives for auditory information)	Provide target language audio paired with visual graphic organizers, synchronous subtitles, and transcript chunking.
Vocabulary & Grammar	Checkpoint 2.1 (Clarify vocabulary & symbols)	Utilize visual glossaries, dual-coded flashcards, color-coded syntax maps, and

		gestural/sign language cues.
Reading & Literacy	Checkpoint 3.3 (Guide information processing & visualization)	Offer digital texts with font-size control, audio narration, embedded pop-up definitions, and structural outline guides.
Speaking & Production	Checkpoint 5.1 (Use multiple media for communication)	Allow students to submit pre-recorded audio/video responses, use sentence frames, or present with visual slide supports.
Writing & Composition	Checkpoint 6.2 (Support planning & strategy development)	Provide graphic essay organizers, speech-to-text drafting options, peer editing checklists, and word prediction software.

References:

1. CAST (2018). *Universal Design for Learning Guidelines version 2.2.* Retrieved from http://udlguidelines.cast.org
2. Hall, T. E., Meyer, A., & Rose, D. H. (2012). *Universal Design for Learning in the Classroom: Practical Applications.* Guilford Press.
3. Krashen, S. D. (1982). *Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition.* Pergamon Press.
4. Krashen, S. D. (1985). *The Input Hypothesis: Issues and Implications.* Longman.
5. Meyer, A., Rose, D. H., & Gordon, D. (2014). *Universal design for learning: Theory and Practice.* CAST Professional Publishing.
6. Paivio, A. (1986). *Mental Representations: A Dual Coding Approach.* Oxford University Press.
7. Rose, D. H., & Meyer, A. (2002). *Teaching Every Student in the Digital Age: Universal Design for Learning.* ASCD.
8. Swain, M. (1985). Communicative competence: Some roles of comprehensible input and comprehensible output in its development. *Input in Second Language Acquisition*, 15-40.
9. Tomlinson, C. A. (2014). *The Differentiated Classroom: Responding to the Needs of All Learners (2nd ed.).* ASCD.
10. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes.* Harvard University Press.