ISSN:2828-6235
Impact factor:13.77

International Journal of Literature and Language Studies(IJLLS)

PHILOSOPHICAL AND SEMIOTIC FOUNDATIONS OF THE LIGHT-SHADOW OPPOSITION IN UZBEK POETIC THOUGHT

Radjabova Dildora Shakarboyevna
Teacher at the Silk Road Innovation University
Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23569879

Abstract: This article investigates the philosophical and semiotic foundations of the light-shadow opposition in Uzbek poetic thought, focusing on the relationship between natural imagery, cultural symbolism, and contextual meaning formation. Drawing on structural semiotics, cultural semiotics, and conceptual metaphor theory, the study examines selected poetic works by Alisher Navoi, Abdulhamid Cholpon, Usmon Nosir, Hamid Olimjon, and Usmon Azim. The research employs qualitative textual analysis, contextual interpretation, and comparative semiotic analysis to identify the principal mechanisms through which light and shadow acquire symbolic significance in different poetic environments. The findings contribute to the study of Uzbek literary semiotics by demonstrating that the meaning of light-shadow imagery emerges through the interaction of cultural conventions, poetic composition, and individual artistic intention.

Keywords: Uzbek poetry, light and shadow, binary opposition, philosophical symbolism, literary semiotics, cultural codes, contextual semantics, poetic imagery, symbolic transformation.

INTRODUCTION

The symbolic representation of light and shadow occupies an important position in the development of literary and philosophical thought. Throughout different cultural traditions, natural phenomena associated with illumination and darkness have provided writers with expressive resources for representing spiritual experience, emotional conflict, human knowledge, and moral evaluation. Such imagery acquires particular significance in poetry, where individual lexical units may activate several interconnected levels of meaning.

The opposition between light and shadow is commonly understood through contrastive associations: light is related to knowledge, goodness, hope, and spiritual awakening, whereas darkness is associated with uncertainty, suffering, ignorance, and isolation. Nevertheless, this conventional interpretation does not sufficiently account for the diversity of poetic contexts in which these images appear.

A shadow may represent protection from excessive sunlight, emotional closeness, or a persistent connection between individuals. Similarly, darkness may create an atmosphere of contemplation and artistic inspiration rather than expressing exclusively negative experiences.

The theoretical foundations for examining such relationships can be traced to structural linguistics and semiotic theory. Ferdinand de Saussure's understanding of linguistic signs emphasizes the relational character of meaning within a sign system [1]. This approach provides an important starting point for investigating poetic oppositions, in which the significance of an individual image depends partly on its relationship with other elements.

Roman Jakobson's account of the poetic function of language further demonstrates that literary meaning emerges from the organization of verbal expression rather than from individual words alone [2]. Consequently, the interpretation of symbolic imagery requires attention to repetition, parallelism, contrast, and the arrangement of images within poetic discourse.

Umberto Eco's theory of signification provides another relevant perspective. His distinction between sign systems and the processes through which signs communicate meaning makes it possible to examine how familiar cultural symbols acquire additional associations in literary contexts [3].

Yuri Lotman's cultural semiotics also offers a productive framework for investigating the interaction between inherited symbolic conventions and individual artistic expression. From this perspective, poetic texts participate in broader systems of cultural memory while simultaneously generating new meanings [4].

Within Uzbek literary history, the interaction between illumination and darkness can be examined across classical and modern poetic traditions. Classical poetry frequently employs spatial, visual, and emotional imagery to represent the relationship between love, separation, suffering, and spiritual aspiration. Twentieth-century Uzbek poetry expands these possibilities through psychological reflection, social consciousness, and individualized representations of human experience.

However, light, darkness, and shadow should not be treated as completely interchangeable analytical categories. The Uzbek terms nur (light or radiance), yorug'lik (light or brightness), soya (shadow or shade), tun (night), and qorong'ulik (darkness) possess different primary meanings. Their symbolic relationships depend on context rather than on absolute semantic equivalence.

The central research problem concerns the mechanisms through which these lexical and visual images develop philosophical significance within Uzbek poetic discourse.

The study addresses three research questions:

1. How are light and shadow represented as philosophical and semiotic categories in selected examples of Uzbek poetry?
2. Under what textual conditions do conventional symbolic associations undergo transformation?
3. How does the interaction between contrasting images contribute to the expression of emotional, ethical, and existential meanings?

The principal contribution of the article is a context-sensitive analytical framework that distinguishes conventional symbolic opposition from the more complex processes of semantic coexistence, inversion, and transformation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Research design

The study adopts a qualitative interpretative methodology integrating structural-semiotic analysis, contextual semantic analysis, and comparative literary interpretation.

Rather than attempting to establish the statistical frequency of specific symbols throughout Uzbek poetry, the investigation examines their meaning-generating functions within selected poetic texts.

The theoretical framework draws on five complementary sources: Saussure's relational understanding of signs [1], Jakobson's theory of poetic language [2], Eco's semiotic interpretation of signification [3], Lotman's cultural semiotics [4], and Lakoff and Johnson's account of conceptual metaphor [5].

The integration of these approaches allows the research to examine how physical experiences of light and shadow become associated with abstract concepts such as love, suffering, freedom, companionship, and hope.

2.2. Selection of literary materials

The primary textual material consists of five poetic works:

- Alisher Navoi - Oshiq o'ldum… [6].
- Abdulhamid Cholpon - Go'zal (1919) [7].
- Usmon Nosir - Gulzor - chaman… (1934) [8].
- Hamid Olimjon - Holbuki, tun… [9].
- Usmon Azim - Javzo [10].

The texts were selected purposively according to three criteria: the presence of relevant light, darkness, or shadow imagery; the importance of that imagery for interpreting the surrounding passage; and the possibility of comparing different symbolic functions across poetic contexts.

The selection covers classical and modern poetic examples, allowing a limited historical comparison without claiming to represent the entirety of Uzbek literary development.

The textual examples were checked against accessible electronic reproductions of the poems. Because these online versions do not provide stable printed page numbers, references to poetic evidence identify the relevant works and, where appropriate, their stanzas or textual passages.

2.3. Analytical procedure

The analysis was conducted through four interconnected stages.

First stage: identification of symbolic markers. Lexical items related to illumination, shadow, darkness, night, sunlight, and associated visual phenomena were identified within the selected texts.

Second stage: contextual interpretation. Each occurrence was examined in relation to its immediate linguistic surroundings, including adjectives, verbs, comparisons, emotional evaluations, and the position of the poetic speaker.

Third stage: semiotic classification. The symbolic functions were considered in terms of four interpretative mechanisms:

• Symbolic polarization: the construction of contrasting values through light and darkness.

• Semantic coexistence: the simultaneous presence of positive or complex meanings associated with contrasting images.

• Contextual inversion: the attribution of an unexpected value to a conventionally interpreted image.

• Symbolic transformation: the development of an image from its physical meaning into a broader psychological or philosophical sign.

Fourth stage: comparative interpretation. The identified mechanisms were compared across the five texts to distinguish recurring tendencies from meanings specific to individual poetic compositions.

2.4. Methodological limitations

The study is based on a small, purposively selected corpus and therefore does not support quantitative generalizations about Uzbek poetry as a whole. The analysis presents interpretations grounded in textual evidence rather than measurements of reader responses.

The English translations of the Uzbek excerpts are working translations prepared for the present analysis. They are intended to clarify the relevant meanings and should not be treated as published literary translations.

RESULTS

3.1. Shadow as a spatial and emotional sign in Alisher Navoi's poetry

Alisher Navoi's ghazal Oshiq o'ldum… provides an example of the relationship between physical space and emotional suffering. Within the poem, shadow and wall imagery become elements of the speaker's experience of separation and grief.

The relevant couplet contains the expression:

"Seli g'amdin emdi soya o'rnig'a devor emish." [6]

A contextual rendering is: Because of the flood of grief, a wall now occupies the place of shadow.

The expression is particularly significant because it does not establish a simple opposition between brightness and darkness. Instead, the transformation of spatial imagery becomes the central mechanism of meaning.

The shadow, which ordinarily depends on the presence of an obstructing object and a source of illumination, participates here in the representation of the beloved's physical and emotional distance.

The wall acquires an additional psychological dimension through its association with grief. As a result, an ordinary spatial relationship becomes a means of expressing the speaker's emotional circumstances.

The semiotic importance of this construction lies in the replacement of one spatial element with another. Shadow and wall are not merely descriptive details; their relationship contributes to the development of an emotionally intensified poetic environment.

This example suggests that classical Uzbek poetic symbolism cannot always be reduced to a stable correspondence between physical illumination and moral value.

Rather, the significance of an image emerges through metaphorical relationships, emotional context, and the internal structure of the poetic utterance.

3.2. The interaction of darkness and idealized beauty in Cholpon's Go'zal

Abdulhamid Cholpon's poem Go'zal presents a different form of interaction between darkness and illumination.

The opening introduces the lyrical speaker's search for the beloved:

"Qorong'u kechada ko'kka ko'z tikib," [7]

The phrase describes a speaker looking toward the sky on a dark night.

In the same opening stanza, the speaker turns to the brightest star in search of information about the beloved. The poem subsequently develops this inquiry through the moon, dawn wind, and sun.

The sequence creates a movement from nocturnal observation toward increasingly prominent sources of natural illumination.

The significance of this movement, however, extends beyond the replacement of darkness with light.

The night functions as the initial environment of contemplation and desire. Without the nocturnal setting, the image of the bright star would not possess the same degree of visual and emotional prominence.

Darkness therefore becomes a necessary condition for the poetic construction of radiance.

The gradual introduction of celestial images also establishes a hierarchy of comparison. The beloved is represented as surpassing the beauty of the star, moon, and sun.

Consequently, natural light does not constitute the ultimate source of beauty. Instead, it provides a series of comparisons through which the speaker attempts to express an ideal that exceeds ordinary perception.

From a semiotic perspective, light operates as a sign of admiration and an instrument of aesthetic evaluation. Darkness provides the background against which this symbolic process becomes visible.

The poem illustrates semantic coexistence, because darkness is not simply rejected as a negative category. It actively participates in the development of an idealized poetic image.

3.3. Positive reinterpretation of shadow in Usmon Nosir's Gulzor - chaman…

Usmon Nosir's poem Gulzor - chaman… offers particularly important evidence against a strictly negative interpretation of shadow.

The poet describes the beloved's eyes through the contrast between daylight and night:

"Kunday yorug', tunday qora / Ekan ko'zlaring." [8]

The comparison combines brightness and darkness within a single object of admiration.

The positive evaluation of the beloved is therefore not associated exclusively with illumination. Darkness also contributes to the aesthetic completeness of the image.

This construction differs from a moralized opposition in which light automatically signifies goodness and darkness signifies its absence.

The same poem develops another important interpretation of shadow when companionship is compared to the continuous presence of a person's shadow.

Here, soya is associated with emotional closeness, loyalty, and permanence.

Its significance derives from the physical relationship between an individual and the shadow that accompanies that individual. This observable relationship becomes the conceptual basis for representing a dependable companion.

The mechanism can be interpreted through conceptual metaphor theory. Lakoff and Johnson argue that abstract experiences are frequently understood through structures derived from bodily and physical experience [5].

In Nosir's poem, the familiar experience of an accompanying shadow provides an expressive model for interpersonal attachment.

The example reveals an important distinction between the lexical meaning of a shadow and its contextual symbolic value.

A shadow may be physically dark while remaining emotionally positive.

The opposition between light and shadow is thus modified by the semantic requirements of the particular poetic situation.

3.4. Illumination, darkness, and psychological uncertainty in Hamid Olimjon's Holbuki, tun…

Hamid Olimjon's poem Holbuki, tun… presents light and shadow as elements of a psychologically complex nocturnal environment.

The poem contains the line:

"Nur yog'ildi qorong'i jarga." [9]

The image represents light falling into a dark ravine.

This contrast produces an important semiotic interaction between illumination and obscurity. Rather than simply replacing darkness, light enters and transforms the perceived environment.

The surrounding passages associate the nocturnal landscape with restlessness, thought, movement, and heightened awareness.

The poetic speaker experiences the external environment as both a physical reality and a stimulus for internal reflection.

Another striking image introduces a long shadow that follows the speaker.

Unlike the protective or companionate shadow in Nosir's poem, the shadow in this context acquires an ambiguous psychological quality.

Its movement suggests the possibility of an encounter with an unfamiliar or unexplained presence.

The poem does not require the shadow to be interpreted exclusively as a symbol of evil. Its significance emerges through the speaker's uncertainty and the surrounding atmosphere of nocturnal reflection.

The coexistence of illumination and shadow consequently produces a dynamic rather than static symbolic environment.

Light reveals the landscape, but it does not completely eliminate ambiguity.

The psychological significance of the image depends on the interaction between perception, emotional expectation, and poetic composition.

3.5. Shadow as protection and light as renewal in Usmon Azim's Javzo

Usmon Azim's Javzo introduces a further transformation of the conventional opposition.

The poem begins with the emergence of intense sunlight and includes the observation:

"Itlar oldi o'zin soyaga." [10]

In this context, shade provides a means of escaping excessive heat.

Unlike the philosophical association of darkness with deprivation, shade possesses a practical and protective function.

The poetic image arises from a recognizable natural experience: when sunlight becomes physically uncomfortable, shade becomes desirable.

Later in the poem, the arrival of bright days is connected with emotional renewal, love, and the expectation of better circumstances.

The positive significance of the bright day does not cancel the earlier positive function of shade. Instead, the two images operate at different semantic levels.

At the physical level, shade protects living beings from heat. At the emotional level, the brightness of the day contributes to an atmosphere of optimism.

This dual structure demonstrates that symbolic values are not necessarily mutually exclusive.

The same natural phenomenon may participate in different interpretative systems according to its relationship with the poetic speaker and the surrounding text.

In Javzo, light and shade therefore illustrate contextual differentiation: their significance is determined by their respective functions rather than by a predetermined moral hierarchy.

3.6. Comparative interpretation of the selected poetic examples

Table 1. Semiotic functions of light and shadow in selected Uzbek poetic texts

Poet and work Dominant imagery Contextual meaning Principal semiotic mechanism

Alisher Navoi, Oshiq o‘ldum… Shadow and wall Grief, separation, spatial restriction Symbolic transformation

Abdulhamid Cholpon, Go‘zal Dark night, star, moon, sun Longing, idealized beauty, contemplation Semantic coexistence

Usmon Nosir, Gulzor - chaman… Daylight, night, accompanying shadow Beauty, affection, loyalty, companionship Contextual inversion

Hamid Olimjon, Holbuki, tun… Moonlight, dark ravine, long shadow Reflection, ambiguity, heightened perception Symbolic transformation

Usmon Azim, Javzo Sunlight, shade, bright days Protection, renewal, optimism Contextual differentiation

Source: The author’s comparative interpretation of the five selected poetic texts [6-10]. The categories are qualitative analytical distinctions, not statistically derived classifications.

The comparative analysis identifies three recurring tendencies.

First, light is frequently associated with positive emotional values, but its meaning depends on the immediate poetic environment.

Second, shadow demonstrates greater contextual diversity than a conventional positive-negative binary might suggest. It may represent psychological tension, attachment, physical protection, or emotional suffering.

Third, the interaction between light and shadow contributes to the generation of meanings that cannot be explained by either image in isolation.

These findings demonstrate the importance of relational interpretation in the study of Uzbek poetic symbolism.

DISCUSSION

4.1. The philosophical foundations of binary opposition

The relationship between light and shadow can be approached as a binary structure in which the meaning of one category is partly established through contrast with another.

A structural understanding of meaning is useful because poetic imagery rarely functions independently of its surrounding elements.

Nevertheless, the findings of the present study indicate that binary opposition should be treated as an interpretative starting point rather than a complete explanation of literary symbolism.

If light were invariably associated with positive values and shadow exclusively with negative ones, the images identified in Nosir's and Azim's poetry would remain inadequately explained.

The association of shadow with companionship or protection reveals the existence of alternative symbolic possibilities.

Consequently, a distinction must be made between conventional symbolic associations and contextually realized meanings.

Conventional associations constitute a repertoire of culturally familiar interpretations. Contextually realized meanings emerge from the interaction between that repertoire and the particular organization of a poetic text.

4.2. The cultural dimension of light-shadow imagery

Lotman's understanding of the relationship between cultural systems and individual texts is particularly relevant to this distinction [4].

Poetic works do not merely reproduce established cultural symbols. They also rearrange familiar images and create new relationships between them.

In the selected works, light and shadow participate in several overlapping systems of meaning.

In Navoi's ghazal, spatial imagery contributes to the representation of emotional suffering.

In Cholpon's poem, celestial illumination serves the expression of idealized beauty.

In Nosir's poetry, shadow becomes an image of human attachment.

In Hamid Olimjon's nocturnal landscape, the interaction between light and shadow supports psychological ambiguity.

In Azim's poem, physical shade and metaphorical brightness are associated with protection and renewal respectively.

These differences suggest that the cultural meaning of an image cannot be established solely through its dictionary definition.

The symbolic function must also be considered in relation to the conventions of poetic genre, the situation represented in the text, and the particular emotional perspective of the lyrical speaker.

4.3. Cognitive foundations of symbolic interpretation

Conceptual metaphor theory provides a complementary explanation of the patterns identified in the analysis.

Physical experiences of light, darkness, warmth, protection, and visual perception may provide conceptual resources for understanding abstract human experiences [5].

For example, the physical continuity of a person's shadow can motivate an association with companionship.

Likewise, the visibility created by light can support metaphorical interpretations involving recognition, discovery, or emotional revelation.

However, metaphorical mappings should not be understood as mechanically determining all poetic meanings.

A particular poem may reinterpret, extend, or modify an established association.

Nosir's use of shadow as a companion demonstrates how bodily experience can provide the basis for a positive psychological interpretation.

Azim's representation of shade as relief from excessive sunlight similarly demonstrates how environmental conditions influence the evaluation of a natural phenomenon.

The conceptual relationship between physical experience and abstract interpretation is therefore productive but not semantically fixed.

4.4. A contextual model of the light-shadow opposition

Based on the preceding comparative observations, the article proposes a four-part contextual model.

Symbolic polarization occurs when light and darkness are organized around contrasting emotional or philosophical values.

Semantic coexistence occurs when contrasting images contribute positively or complementarily to the same poetic representation.

Contextual inversion occurs when an image acquires a value different from its frequently assumed symbolic association, such as shadow expressing loyalty rather than loneliness.

Symbolic transformation occurs when a physical image develops into an emotional, psychological, or philosophical sign through its relationship with other textual elements.

The model does not imply that these categories are mutually exclusive. A poetic passage may exhibit more than one mechanism simultaneously.

Its principal advantage lies in preventing the automatic identification of light with goodness and shadow with evil.

Such a reduction risks overlooking the complexity of Uzbek poetic expression.

A context-sensitive approach instead asks how an image functions, what meanings its neighboring images activate, and why a particular symbolic relationship is significant to the poem's artistic development.

4.5. Implications for Uzbek literary semiotics

The findings have several implications for future research.

First, studies of binary imagery should distinguish the physical, emotional, philosophical, and cultural dimensions of symbolic meaning.

Second, comparisons between classical and modern poetic traditions should account for both continuity and contextual differences.

Third, a broader investigation of Uzbek poetry could examine how recurring images such as nur, soya, tun, tong, and zulmat interact with concepts of love, spiritual aspiration, national identity, memory, and social transformation.

Such research would benefit from a larger corpus and a clearly defined annotation procedure.

The present study does not establish the frequency of the identified symbolic mechanisms. Instead, it demonstrates their interpretative relevance through selected textual examples.

Its proposed framework may therefore serve as a starting point for more extensive investigations rather than as a final classification of all light-shadow symbolism in Uzbek literature.

CONCLUSION

The philosophical and semiotic investigation of light-shadow imagery in selected Uzbek poetic texts demonstrates that the relationship between illumination and darkness cannot be explained through a rigid opposition of positive and negative meanings.

The analysis supports four principal conclusions.

First, the semantic value of light and shadow is relational. Their significance is shaped by their interaction with other elements of the poetic text.

Second, light frequently functions as a symbol of beauty, emotional renewal, and spiritual or intellectual aspiration. However, these associations must be interpreted within their specific textual environments.

Third, shadow possesses substantial semantic flexibility. Depending on its poetic context, it may represent grief, uncertainty, protection, companionship, or attachment.

Fourth, classical and modern Uzbek poetic examples demonstrate different methods of transforming physical imagery into abstract meaning. These methods include spatial metaphor, celestial comparison, psychological representation, and the reinterpretation of everyday physical experience.

The study therefore proposes that the light-shadow opposition should be understood as a dynamic semiotic relationship rather than an unchanging moral classification.

Its interpretation requires simultaneous attention to lexical meaning, poetic structure, cultural conventions, and the emotional position of the speaker.

The findings contribute to a more differentiated understanding of Uzbek literary symbolism and provide a theoretical basis for subsequent research into binary oppositions, conceptual metaphors, and the contextual transformation of poetic imagery.

REFERENCES

1. Saussure, F. de. (1983). Course in General Linguistics. Translated and annotated by R. Harris. London: Duckworth. Original work published 1916.
2. Jakobson, R. (1960). Closing statement: Linguistics and poetics. In T. A. Sebeok (Ed.), Style in Language (pp. 350-377). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. https://philpapers.org/rec/JAKCSL
3. Eco, U. (1976). A Theory of Semiotics. Bloomington: Indiana University Press. https://iupress.org/9780253013316/a-theory-of-semiotics/
4. Lotman, Y. M. (1990). Universe of the Mind: A Semiotic Theory of Culture. Translated by A. Shukman. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
5. Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). The metaphorical structure of the human conceptual system. Cognitive Science, 4(2), 195-208. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog0402_4
6. Navoiy, A. Oshiq o‘ldum… [Ghazal]. Electronic text in Tafakkur. https://tafakkur.net/oshiq-oldum/alisher-navoiy.uz
7. Cho‘lpon, A. (1919). Go‘zal [Poem]. Electronic text in Tafakkur. https://tafakkur.net/gozal/abdulhamid-cholpon.uz
8. Nosir, U. (1934). Gulzor - chaman… [Poem]. Electronic text in Tafakkur. https://tafakkur.net/gulzor-chaman/usmon-nosir.uz
9. Olimjon, H. Holbuki, tun… [Poem]. Electronic text in Tafakkur. https://tafakkur.net/holbuki-tun/hamid-olimjon.uz
10. Azim, U. Javzo [Poem]. Electronic text in Tafakkur. https://tafakkur.net/javzo/usmon-azim.uz
Electronic poetic sources accessed: 18 October 2026.